



## Supreme Court Massacred the 2nd Amendment!!

---

On June 30, 2008, the Supreme Court, in a 5-4 decision, overturned a handgun ban in Washington City, and ruled that the 2nd Amendment gives people the right to have a LICENSED firearm in their homes for SELF DEFENCE. The case was called [District of Columbia v. Heller](#) and here is a quote from Justice Scalia:

"The First Amendment contains the freedom-of-speech guarantee that the people ratified, which included exceptions for obscenity, libel, and disclosure of state secrets, but not for the expression of extremely unpopular and wrong-headed views. The Second Amendment is no different. Like the First, it is the very product of an interest-balancing by the people—which JUSTICE BREYER would now conduct for them anew. And whatever else it leaves to future evaluation, it surely elevates above all other interests the right of law-abiding, responsible citizens to use arms in defense of **HEARTH and HOME**" (Justice Scalia).

Many people were glad to hear this ruling believing that it was a vindication of the 2nd Amendment to the U.S. Constitution....Nothing could be further from the TRUTH....The 2nd Amendment says nothing about protecting yourself from burglars or rapists. Here is the plain language of the 2nd Amendment that even a child can understand:

**"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a FREE STATE, the right of the PEOPLE to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.**

### **A FREE STATE IS A STATE WITHOUT A STANDING ARMY!!**

A FREE STATE is a State without a standing army, and no country that maintains a standing or professional paid army in peacetime can be called free.

A standing army is ROMAN . . . and ROTTEN to the core....The first notable standing army in history was commanded by Julius Caesar. He was told by the Roman Senate to report back to Rome from Gaul and leave his army behind. Everybody knows about his famous crossing of the Rubicon and march on Rome. This was the beginning of Imperial Rome and most of the Emperors from that time onward were chosen by the army.



Roman dictator Julius Caesar  
(100 BC to 44 BC).

Rome was a republic with citizen soldiers fighting her battles.

After the conquest of Gaul, Caesar was told to report back to Rome and leave his army behind.

He refused, and that was the beginning of Imperial Rome with the army controlling the Empire.



Caesar crossing the Rubicon with his army.

PAPAL Rome is the direct successor of PAGAN Rome. The Pope is the direct successor of dictator Julius Caesar . . . not the Apostle Peter....Studying the history of the Papacy, we find the same MAD quest to have a STANDING ARMY in every country that she subdues and oppresses.

Having a standing army is absolutely essential to Rome because her [Syllabus of Errors](#) teaches that their should be a union of church and State with a standing army to coerce the recalcitrant:

55. The Church ought to be separated from the State, and the State from the Church. —Allocution "Acerbissimum," Sept. 27, 1852. **(Condemned as error).**

24. The Church has not the power of using force, nor has she any temporal power, direct or indirect. —Apostolic Letter "Ad Apostolicae," Aug. 22, 1851. **(Condemned as error).**

The founders of this GREAT country were determined that there should NEVER be a standing army here by ensuring that every citizen was a soldier—and well armed . . . not with handguns or pistols only . . . but muskets and rifles.

## Roman Justice says Pentagon is PRIDE OF THE NATION!!

The majority opinion was given by Roman Justice Scalia who said this about the Pentagon standing army:

"We are aware of the problem of handgun violence in this country, and we take seriously the concerns raised by the many *amici* who believe that prohibition of handgun ownership is a solution. The Constitution leaves the District of Columbia a variety of tools for combating that problem, including some measures regulating handguns, see *supra*, at 54-55, and n. 26. **But the**

enshrinement of constitutional rights necessarily takes certain policy choices off the table. These include the absolute prohibition of handguns held and used for self-defense in the home. Undoubtedly some think that the Second Amendment is outmoded in a society where our standing army is the pride of our Nation, where well-trained police forces provide personal security, and where gun violence is a serious problem. That is perhaps debatable, but what is not debatable is that it is not the role of this Court to pronounce the Second Amendment extinct (yet!)" (Justice Scalia).

Obviously this Justice does not even know the history of his own country, because the main reason for the Revolution was the objection to a British STANDING ARMY in Boston:


"A standing army thus placed in their capital, their commerce fettered, their character traduced, their representative body prevented meeting, the united petitions of all ranks that they might be convened at this critical juncture rejected by the governor; and still threatened by a further augmentation of troops to enforce measures in every view repugnant to the principles of the British constitution; little hope remained of a peaceful accommodation "(Warren, *History of the Rise, Progress and Termination of the American Revolution*, vol. I, p. 38).



"The Pride of the Nation" dominates everybody in Washington City!!

Justice Scalia said that the Pentagon is the Pride of the Nation.

Even the Roman army did some good in Italy by building roads and aqueducts, but all the Pentagon does is destroy the world and bankrupt the country!!



Antonin Scalia (1936----). Associate Justice since 1975.

There you have it...Roman Justice Scalia says that the Pentagon is the PRIDE OF THE NATION even though it is hell bent on obtaining world hegemony over the dead bodies of millions of men, women, and children.

Scalia did not give his "Pride of the Nation" soldiers the right to KEEP arms even in their own country. All

the Justices did was give LICENSED gun owners the "right" to have a handgun in their home to protect them from burglars or rapists.

Pentagon standing army members are DENIED the right to KEEP arms!!

Here is the dictionary definition of the word KEEP:

**KEEP, transitive verb, [L. habeo, and capio.]**

1. To hold; to retain in one's power or possession; not to lose or part with; as, to keep a house or a farm; to keep any thing in the memory, mind or heart.
2. To have in custody for security or preservation. ([Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary](#)).

**KEEP, transitive verb, [L. habeo, and capio.]**

1. To hold or retain in one's possession; hold as one's own: If you like it, keep it. Keep the change.
2. To hold or have the use of for a period of time: You can keep it for the summer. (*Webster's New International Dictionary*).

The vast multi-billion dollar standing army military establishment known as the Pentagon does not even qualify as the MILITIA of the United States under the 2nd Amendment, because they deny their members the right to KEEP arms. Those professional soldiers are allowed to BEAR arms when they are on active duty . . . but must leave them behind whenever they leave their bases!!

The same can be said for the National Guard of the various states. Members come under the same VERY STRICT prohibition to KEEP weapons as members of the Pentagon standing army military establishment.

In 1960 patriotic citizens were concerned about Papal control of the Supreme Court!!



Click to enlarge

Flyer distributed in 1960.

During the presidential election of 1960, a patriotic group called *Volunteers for the Preservation of Religious Freedom* distributed this pamphlet opposing the election of John F. Kennedy because they feared that he would impose his religion on the nation through the appointment of Supreme Court Justices.

The cunning crafty Jesuits surprised everybody because they only allowed [President Kennedy](#) to live long enough to appoint 2 Justices.

Apparently his assassination, in order to make [Nelson Rockefeller](#) President by appointment only, took precedence over packing the Court.

The first 10 Amendments to the U.S. Constitution known as the Bill of Rights were ratified in 1791. The 2nd Amendment to the Constitution says this:

**"A well regulated Militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the PEOPLE to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."**

The language in that Amendment is so CLEAR and PLAIN in authorizing the PEOPLE to KEEP and bear arms that no Supreme Court has questioned that right in over 200 years.

Here is a quote from Joseph Story—one of the most influential Justices in Supreme Court history:

"The importance of this article will scarcely be doubted by any persons, who have duly reflected upon the subject. The militia is the natural defence of a free country against sudden foreign invasions, domestic insurrections, and domestic usurpations of power by rulers. It is against sound policy for a free people to keep up large military establishments and standing armies in time of peace, both from the enormous expenses, with which they are attended, and the facile means, which they afford to ambitious and unprincipled rulers, to subvert the government, or trample upon the rights of the people. The right of the citizens to keep and bear arms has justly been considered, as the palladium of the liberties of a republic; since it offers a strong moral check against the usurpation and arbitrary power of rulers; and will generally, even if these are successful in the

first instance, enable the people to resist and triumph over them. "[\(Commentaries upon the Constitution\)](#).

## Who are the PEOPLE referred to in the Bill of Rights?

Who are the PEOPLE whose right to keep and bear arms cannot be infringed? In the Bill of Rights, *people* are referred to 5 times; *persons* 2 times, and *person* once.

Here are 3 dictionary definitions of PEOPLE that any PERSON old enough to use a dictionary can plainly understand:

### PEOPLE, n. [L. *populus*.]

1. The body of persons who compose a community, town, city or nation. We say, the people of a town; the people of London or Paris; the English people. In this sense, the word is not used in the plural, but it comprehends ALL classes of inhabitants, considered as a collective body, or any portion of the inhabitants of a city or country. ([Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary](#)).

### PEOPLE, n. [L. *populus*.]

1. plural : human beings making up a group or assembly or linked by a common interest  
2. plural : human beings, persons —often used in compounds instead of persons <salespeople> —often used attributively. (*Webster's New International Dictionary*).

### PEOPLE, n. [L. *populus*.]

1. Human beings considered as a group or in indefinite numbers: People were dancing in the street. I met all sorts of people.  
2. A body of persons living in the same country under one national government; a nationality. (*American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language*).

At his immortal Gettysburg Address, President Lincoln fervently desired that "government of the people, by the people, for the people, should not perish from the earth." Who was he referring to in that noble address? ALL the people who make up this great country and were loyal to liberty and the Constitution.

Only blind bigotry and prejudice could possibly put any other meaning on the 2nd Amendment than the plain statement that the people referred to include the entire people of the United States!!

## U.S. Bill of Rights is based on the British Bill of Rights

The U.S. Bill of Rights is almost an exact duplicate of the Bill of Rights passed by Parliament after the Glorious (Dutch) Revolution of 1688. This revolution led to the overthrow of King James II.

James II—great-grandson of bigoted Mary Queen of Scots, and her Italian lover David Riccio—was absolutely determined to turn back the clock (which only God can do), and restore Roman Catholicism in England. England was rescued from such a dire fate by the invasion of the Dutch King William of Orange.



King James II (1633-1701).  
King from 1685 to 1688.

King James II—a bigoted Roman Catholic—was overthrown in the Glorious Revolution of 1688, and replaced by the Dutch Protestant King William of Orange.

The English people were tired of the Spanish Inquisition trying to turn back the clock to the dark days before the Reformation, so they insisted on a Bill of Rights.

The main provision of the Bill of Rights was that Roman Catholics were forever barred from the throne of England, and that Protestants should be armed to preserve and defend their dearly won liberties.



King William III (1633 -1701).  
King from 1689 to 1701.

The English Bill of Rights 1689 is an Act of the Parliament of England with the long title *An Act Declaring the Rights and Liberties of the Subject and Settling the Succession of the Crown* and also known by its short title, the Bill of Rights. It is one of the basic documents of English constitutional law, alongside Magna Carta, the Act of Settlement and the Parliament Acts. It also forms part of the law of some other Commonwealth nations, such as New Zealand and Canada. A separate but similar document applies in Scotland: the Claim of Right.

The basic tenets of the Bill of Rights 1689 are:

Englishmen, as embodied by Parliament, possessed certain immutable civil and political rights. These included:

freedom from royal interference with the law (the Sovereign was forbidden to establish his own courts or to act as a judge himself).

freedom from taxation by royal prerogative, without agreement by Parliament.

freedom to petition the Monarch.

freedom from a peace-time standing army, without agreement by Parliament.

**freedom [for Protestants] to have arms for defence, as allowed by law.**

freedom to elect members of Parliament without interference from the Sovereign.

the freedom of speech in Parliament, in that proceedings in Parliament were not to be questioned in the courts or in any body outside Parliament itself (the basis of modern parliamentary privilege).

freedom from cruel and unusual punishments, and excessive bail.

Roman Catholics could not be king or queen of England since "it hath been found by experience that it is inconsistent with the safety and welfare of this Protestant kingdom to be governed by a papist prince". The Sovereign was required to swear a coronation oath to maintain the Protestant religion.

Only by being armed can the Protestant PEOPLE of the United States prevent the kind of vicious dictatorship that King James II sought to impose on the British people!!

## Supreme power in the U.S. is vested in the Supreme Court

The Supreme Court is the court of final appeal and its judgments are binding and become the law of the land. Presidents, Senators, Congressmen serve for a few years at most, but Supreme Court Justices are appointed for life and cannot be removed except for *bad behavior*.

The Court consists of nine Justices: the Chief Justice of the United States and eight Associate Justices. The Justices are nominated by the President and confirmed with the "advice and consent" of the Senate. As federal judges, the Justices serve during "good behavior," meaning they essentially serve for life and can be removed only by resignation, or by impeachment and subsequent conviction. The Supreme Court is the only court established by the United States Constitution (in Article III); all other federal courts are created by Congress:

"The judicial Power of the United States, shall be vested in one supreme Court, and in such inferior Courts as the Congress may from time to time ordain and establish. The Judges, both of the supreme and inferior Courts, shall hold their Offices during good Behavior, and shall, at stated Times, receive for their Services a Compensation which shall not be diminished during their Continuance in Office." ([U.S. Constitution](#)).

The original intent of the framers of the Constitution was that the Justices would be GUARDIANS or

CUSTODIANS of the Constitution; and in order to ensure that they would never have financial wants—and be tempted with bribes—their salaries were not to be diminished during their tenure.

## Jesuits have the Supreme Court completely STACKED

Here is the dictionary definition of the word Jesuit and Jesuitism:

### JESUIT, n.

One of the society of Jesus, so called, founded by Ignatius Loyola; a society remarkable for their cunning in propagating their principles. ([Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary](#)).

### JESUITISM, n. The arts, principles and practices of the Jesuits.

1. Cunning, deceit; hypocrisy; prevarication; deceptive practices to effect a purpose.


The Chief Justice and 4 other Justices are Roman Catholics by religion. This represents a majority of the Court when at the most only 20% of U.S. citizens are Roman Catholics. That is of course not counting the millions of illegal immigrants which the Supreme Court seems to ignore completely....The "[Jewish](#)" religion is basically a front for the Jesuits and the Spanish Inquisition so they have the Court completely STACKED:

Roman Catholic




John Roberts (1955----).

Roman Catholic



Antonin Scalia (1936----).


Roman Catholic



Anthony Kennedy (1936----).

Chief Justice since 2005.

Roman Catholic



Clarence Thomas (1948----).  
Associate Justice since 1991.

Associate Justice since 1975.

Roman Catholic



Samuel Alito (1950----).  
Associate Justice since 2006.

Associate Justice since 1988.

Jewish




Ruth Bader Ginsburg  
(1933----).  
Associate Justice since 1993.

Jewish



Stephen Breyer (1938----).  
Associate Justice since 1994.

Protestant



John Paul Stevens (1920---).  
Associate Justice since 1975.

Episcopalian



David Souter (1939----).  
Associate Justice since 1990.

Protestants make up over 50% of the U.S. population and they are represented by ONLY ONE Supreme Court Justice!!

## Pope's law supersedes the U.S. Constitution!!

When there is a conflict between Roman canon "law" and the U.S. Constitution, Roman lawyers MUST obey the Pope's law. As a matter of fact, it becomes a CRIME to put your country's law above the Pope's law:

"But if the laws of the State are manifestly at variance with the divine law, containing enactments hurtful to the Church, or conveying injunctions adverse to the duties imposed by religion, **or if they violate in the person of the supreme Pontiff the authority of Jesus Christ, then truly, to resist becomes a positive duty, to obey, a crime; a crime,** moreover, combined with misdemeanor against the State itself, inasmuch as every offence levelled against religion is also a sin against the State. Here anew it becomes evident how unjust is the reproach of sedition: for the obedience due to rulers and legislators is not refused; but there is a deviation from their will in those precepts only which they have no power to enjoin. Commands that are issued adversely to the honor due to God, and hence are beyond the scope of justice, must be looked upon as anything rather than laws."(*Great Encyclical Letters of Pope Leo XIII*, p. 185).

We know from the Syllabus of Errors that the Pope's "law" on almost every point is diametrically opposed to the U.S. Constitution.

"55. The Church ought to be separated from the State, and the State from the Church. —Allocution "Acerbissimum," Sept. 27, 1852." (**Condemned as error**).

"24. The Church has not the power of using force, nor has she any temporal power, direct or indirect. —Apostolic Letter "Ad Apostolicae," Aug. 22, 1851." (**Condemned as error**).

## Pope condemns government by the PEOPLE!!

The idea of popular sovereignty or government by the PEOPLE is plainly condemned in many, many Papal documents. Here is just one example:

"The sovereignty of the people, however, and this without any reference to God, (meaning the Pope) is held to reside in the multitude; which is doubtless a doctrine exceedingly well calculated to flatter and to inflame many passions, but which lacks all reasonable proof, and all power of insuring public safety and preserving order. Indeed from the prevalence of this teaching, things have come to such a pass that many hold as an axiom of civil jurisprudence that seditions

may be rightfully fostered. For the opinion prevails that princes are nothing more than delegates chosen to carry out the will of the people; whence it necessarily follows that all things are as changeable as the will of the people, so that risk of public disturbance is ever hanging over" (*Great Encyclical Letters of Pope Leo XIII*, p. 123).

## Justices take 2 oaths on the BIBLE!!

Every Supreme Court Justice takes 2 oaths on the Bible to preserve, protect, and defend the Constitution of the U.S.



John Roberts is sworn in as Chief Justice by Justice John Paul Stevens in the East Room of the White House, September 29, 2005. Robert's wife is holding the Bible.



President George W. Bush, left, looks on during the swearing-in ceremony for U.S. Supreme Court Justice Samuel A. Alito, Tuesday, Feb. 1, 2006, in the East Room of the White House, sworn-in by U.S. Supreme Court Chief Justice John Roberts. Altio's wife, Martha-Ann, their son Phil and daughter, Laura, are seen center-background.

Here is the Constitutional oath of office:

"I, AB, do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will support and defend the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic; that I will bear true faith and allegiance to the same; that I take this obligation freely, **without any mental reservation or purpose of evasion**; and that I will well and faithfully discharge the duties of the office on which I am about to enter. So help me God."

Here is the Judicial oath of office:

"I, [NAME], do solemnly swear (or affirm) that I will administer justice without respect to persons, and do equal right to the poor and to the rich, and that I will faithfully and impartially discharge and perform all the duties incumbent upon me as [TITLE] under the Constitution and laws of the United States. So help me God."

By swearing on the Bible to uphold the Constitution, and at the same time holding such a powerful position in the Republic, a TITANIC battle begins within the conscience of a Roman Catholic justice. His conscience tells him that he must "do right" and obey his oath of office, but Roman canon "law" tells him he must put the Pope's "law" above the U.S. Constitution....In other words, to reverse the natural order of the universe by calling evil good . . . and good evil!!

## The Pentagon is NOT the "militia" of the United States!!

The only possible way the Supreme Court could get around the plain statements of the 2nd Amendment is to claim that the "militia" is the standing army maintained by the Pentagon—at stupendous cost to the taxpayers.

Nothing could be further from the truth.... Standing armies have always destroyed the liberties of the people, and the main reason why the colonists broke away from England was because King George III kept a standing army in the cities during peacetime—and TAXED the colonists to support it!!



The Boston massacre occurred in 1770 when a British standing army fired on colonists.

The colonists broke with Great Britain because King George III maintained a standing army in peacetime in their cities.



Huge Pentagon standing army headquarters in Washington City dominates all....The U.S. was founded because of objections to a standing army.

The Stuart kings tried to bring Great Britain back under Roman Catholic domination by disarming the people and maintaining a standing army.

Many of the colonists had left England to escape this standing army tyranny.

After the Seven Years' War ended in 1763, the British army should have gone home to England, and then returned to their families. That was not to be however, because King George III was a Jesuit puppet, and he provoked the colonists by keeping a standing army in their cities.

Here is a quote by a GREAT Briton, written in the year 1697, about the menace of STANDING ARMIES to the liberties of the people:

"This subject is so self-evident, that I am almost ashamed to prove it: for if we look through the world, we shall find in no country, liberty and an army stand together; so that to know whether a people are free or slaves, it is necessary only to ask, whether there is an army kept amongst them?" ([John Trenchard](#)).

And another quote about the menace of standing armies within the seat of government!!

'...and I hope I shall make it appear, that no nation ever preserved its liberty, that maintained an army otherwise constituted within the seat of their government: and let us flatter ourselves as much as we please, what happened yesterday, will come to pass again; and the same causes will produce like effects in all ages.'" ([John Trenchard](#)).

Pentagon standing army members are DENIED the right to KEEP arms!!

Here is the dictionary definition of the word KEEP:

KEEP, transitive verb, [L. habeo, and capio.]

1. To hold; to retain in one's power or possession; not to lose or part with; as, to keep a house or a farm; to keep any thing in the memory, mind or heart.
2. To have in custody for security or preservation. ([Noah Webster's 1828 Dictionary](#)).

KEEP, transitive verb, [L. habeo, and capio.]

1. To hold or retain in one's possession; hold as one's own: If you like it, keep it. Keep the change.
2. To hold or have the use of for a period of time: You can keep it for the summer. (*Webster's New International Dictionary*).

The vast multi-billion dollar standing army military establishment known as the Pentagon does not even qualify as the MILITIA of the United States under the 2nd Amendment, because they deny their members the right to KEEP arms. Those professional soldiers are allowed to BEAR arms when they are on active duty . . . but must leave them behind whenever they leave their bases!!

The same can be said for the National Guard of the various states. Members come under the same VERY STRICT prohibition to KEEP weapons as members of the Pentagon standing army military establishment.

## President Roosevelt tried to pack the Supreme Court in 1937

After the ignominious defeat of Al Smith in 1928, Democrat Franklin Roosevelt was elected President in 1932. Roosevelt took advantage of the Great Depression to introduce vast changes in the government. Many of his "reforms" were declared unconstitutional by the Supreme Court.

By 1937, Roosevelt was in his 2nd term and had not appointed one Justice to the [Supreme Court](#). 6 of the Supreme Court judges were over 70 years of age. Roosevelt reminded them of the infirmities of old age and ENCOURAGED them ALL to RESIGN.

To Roosevelt's great disappointment, the judges refused to retire. At that point, Roosevelt decided to bring out his heavy naval guns. In complete secrecy, he told his Cabinet that he proposed to expand the Supreme Court to 15 by adding a co-justice for every judge over 70. That would give him a majority and make the Supreme Court his obedient servant.



Franklin Delano Roosevelt (President from 1933 to 1945), tried to pack the Supreme Court.

Roosevelt was determined to pack the Court and destroy the 2nd Amendment.

Joe Robinson—a faithful Democrat—and Al Smith's running mate in 1928, lost his life in a titanic losing battle to pack the Court.

WWII came just at the right time for Roosevelt, as it allowed him to remain President for an unprecedented 12 years, and appoint 8 Supreme Court judges.



Senator Joe T. Robinson (1872-1937). A victim of the Roosevelt Court packing scheme.

Like all dictators, Roosevelt was determined to destroy the people's right to keep and bear arms. The first Supreme Court restriction on the right to keep and bear arms was issued in 1939 (*United States v. Miller*), and 4 of the Justices were Roosevelt appointees. Had Roosevelt's Court packing scheme succeeded, the 2nd Amendment would be history, and the United States would be a vicious Roman Catholic dictatorship.

## Self defense is a God given right!!

As Jesus was leaving his disciples to return to Heaven, he admonished them to get a sword for self protection:

"Then said he unto them, But now, he that hath a purse, let him take it, and likewise his scrip: **and he that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.**" (Luke 22:36).

At that time (30 A.D.), Judea was under the iron heel of Rome. Notice Jesus did not tell his disciples to apply to Caesar for a LICENCE to carry a sword for protection.

In the Book of Esther in the Old Testament, there is the story of the wicked Haman who wanted to destroy all the Jews throughout the Persian Empire. It was to be the ancient world's equivalent of the [St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre](#).

"Then were the king's scribes called on the thirteenth day of the first month, and there was written according to all that Haman had commanded unto the king's lieutenants, and to the governors that were over every province, and to the rulers of every people of every province according to the writing thereof, and to every people after their language; in the name of king Ahasuerus was it written, and sealed with the king's ring.

**And the letters were sent by posts into all the king's provinces, to destroy, to kill, and to cause to perish, all Jews, both young and old, little children and women, in one day, even upon the thirteenth day of the twelfth month, which is the month Adar, and to take the spoil of them for a prey.**

The copy of the writing for a commandment to be given in every province was published unto all people, that they should be ready against that day." (Esther 3: 12-14).

Queen Esther—the Queen Elizabeth I of the Old Testament—was the heroine of the planned holocaust. The Persian king was a despotic monarch and to enter his presence without an invitation meant the death penalty.

In a brave decision, Queen Esther decided to enter his presence *uninvited*, and plead for the life of her people:

"Go, gather together all the Jews that are present in Shushan, and fast ye for me, and neither eat nor drink three days, night or day: I also and my maidens will

fast likewise; and so will I go in unto the king, which is not according to the law: and if I perish, I perish." (Esther 4:16).

God heard their prayers in a wonderful way, and brought the counsel of the heathen to nought. The king received Esther gracefully and heard her petition to spare her people.... Haman was hanged on his own gallows, and the king countermanded his previous order and gave the Jews permission to be ARMED and ready for an attack:

"Then were the king's scribes called at that time in the third month, that is, the month Sivan, on the three and twentieth day thereof; and it was written according to all that Mordecai commanded unto the Jews, and to the lieutenants, and the deputies and rulers of the provinces which are from India unto Ethiopia, an hundred twenty and seven provinces, unto every province according to the writing thereof, and unto every people after their language, and to the Jews according to their writing, and according to their language.

And he wrote in the king Ahasuerus' name, and sealed it with the king's ring, and sent letters by posts on horseback, and riders on mules, camels, and young dromedaries:

Wherein the king granted the Jews which were in every city to gather themselves together, and to stand for their life, to destroy, to slay and to cause to perish, all the power of the people and province that would assault them, both little ones and women, and to take the spoil of them for a prey" (Esther 8: 9-11).

The [Samaritans](#) still remember this event every year during the festival of Purim.

---

### Vital Links

[The Canon Law of the Papal Throne](#)

[Syllabus of Errors of Pope Pius IX](#)

[President Roosevelt versus the U.S. Supreme Court!!](#)

[St. Bartholomew's Day Massacre](#)

[Commentaries upon the Constitution by Justice Joseph Story](#)

[John Trenchard's An Argument Shewing, that a Standing Army is inconsistent with a Free Government,](#)

---

### References

Baker, Leonard. *Back to Back: The Duel Between FDR and the Supreme Court*. The Macmillian Co., New York, 1967.

Halbrook, Stephen P. [That Ever Man be Armed: the Evolution of a Constitutional Right](#). University of New Mexico Press, 1984.

Malcolm, Joyce Lee. [To Keep and Bear Arms: The Origins of an Anglo-American Right](#). Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.

Malcolm, Joyce Lee. [Guns and Violence : the English Experience](#). Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 2002.

Pope Leo XIII (1878-1903). *The Great Encyclical Letters of Pope Leo XIII*. Tan Books Publishers, Rockford, Illinois, 1902. Reprinted 1995.

Stewart, Alan. *The Cradle King: The Life of James VI & I*. St. Martin's Press, New York, 2003.

Weller, Cecil Edward Jr. *Joe T. Robinson: Always a Loyal Democrat*. University of Arkansas Press, 1998.

Warren, Mercy Otis, (1728-1814). *History of the Rise, Progress and Termination of the American Revolution*, Liberty Fund, Indianapolis, IN. Originally published: Boston, 1814.

---

Copyright © 2007 by Niall Kilkenny

---

[Back to Main Menu](#)